IOP CONFERENCE SERIES TEAM

Anete Ashton
Publisher, Conference Series

Anete Ashton is the Publisher for the proceedings programme at IOP Publishing. With an MA in linguistics and over ten years' experience in proceedings publication she has developed and grown the IOP Conference Series and has commissioned some of the most prestigious conferences in physics and related subject areas.

E-mail Anete Ashton
Tel +44 (0)117 930 1280

Steph Gill
Conference Publishing Co-ordinator

Steph looks after the day-to-day operations of IOP Conference Series, including commissioning content and liaising with conference organizers/editors. Steph joined the Conference Series team after eight years in the Production department. She has a degree in Media and Film from the University of Winchester.

E-mail Steph Gill
Tel +44 (0)117 930 1252

Kayleigh Parsons
Editorial Assistant, Conference Series

Kayleigh works three days a week with the operations of IOP Conference Series, including system organisation and point of contact for organisers/editors. Kayleigh joined IOP Publishing back in 2008 working in Publishing, she then took 18 months off to travel but re-joined the company in 2015. Kayleigh then joined the Conference Series team in 2018.

E-mail Kayleigh Parsons
Tel +44 (0)117 930 1252 or 1280

Svetlana Kalinina
Technical Production Editor

Svetlana Kalinina is a Production Editor who coordinates the online and print production of proceedings for IOP Conference Series journals. She joined IOP in January 2002. She has a degree in genetics from St.Petersburg State University.

Table of contents

Volume 1613

2020

Open all abstracts

Preface

Papers

R Lusiana and T Andari

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012005

Mathematical reasoning through the application of solid geometry

H Widiatmoko, Mardiyana and Triyanto

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012006

Fuzzy c-shells clustering algorithm

N B I Pratiwi and D R S Saputro

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012007

Reflective pedagogical paradigm approach in mathematics learning

T V D Saputro and A Mahmudi

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012008

Students' mathematical critical thinking reviewed from self-regulated learning

V D Susanti, I Krisdiana and F Adamura

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012009

Mathematical probability: student's misconception in higher education

D Astuti, L Anggraeni and F Setyawan

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012010

Student mathematical anxiety: investigation on problem based learning

M G Jatisunda, N Kania, V Suciawati and D S Nahdi

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012011

The ethnomathematics in making woven bamboo handicrafts of osing community in Banyuwangi, Gintangan village as geometry teaching material

E Yudianto, S Susanto, S Sunardi, T Sugiarti and F A Fajar

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012012

Representation skills students reviewed from the prior knowledge through realistic mathematics education in a linear material program

Laelasari, Darhim and S Prabawanto

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012013

Pre-service mathematics teachers' experiences of teaching practice in function composition

N Kania, I Nurhikmayati and V Suciawati

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012014

Interactive learning media based on MySQL technology in mathematics

H Aliyah, T A Kusmayadi and L Fitriana

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012015

Flexibility in solving open-ended mathematics problems based on students' thinking styles

F Isyrofinnisak, T A Kusmayadi and L Fitriana

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012016

Solving Shortest Path Problems Using Mathematical Literacy Skill Figured Out By Pre-Service Teachers

D Apriandi, W Murtafiah, A D Ayuningtyas and H E Rudyanto

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012017

Metacognition skills and higher order thinking skills (HOTS) in mathematics

U Albab, Budiyono and D Indriati

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012018

Contextual problem in mathematical problem solving: core ability in Realistic Mathematics Education

S A Pratiwi and D B Widjajanti

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012019

A survey of time series forecasting from stochastic method to soft computing

P Hendikawati, Subanar, Abdurakhman and Tarno

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012020

Identification the preliminary concept of geometry through Prambanan temple artifacts

A Andriani and Marsigit

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012021

Direct identification of Borobudur temple artefacts for learning flat shapes concepts

R Anista and M Marsigit

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012022

Mathematical Reasoning and Communication in TGT Learning Model with PQ4R Strategy

A I Primadani, Mardiyana and Triyanto

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012023

Study in statistics: motivation, independence, and learning achievement

Edi Irawan

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012024

Collaborative classroom action research for mathematics and science teachers in Indonesia

F Nurhasanah, U Sukandi, A B Kuncoro, A Rusilowati, W S Hastuti and A Prabowo

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012025

Students' mathematical proficiency in solving calculus problems after Maple implementation

H Hamid, N Angkotasan, A Jalal, D Muhtadi and Sukirwan

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012026

Measurement of mathematics problems solving ability using problem based mathematics question

Zulfah, Astuti, Y F Surya, R Marta and T T Wijaya

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012027

Exploring students' critical thinking skills in a geometry lesson

D Hidayat and R Rosnawati

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012028

Mathematical resilience and mathematical problem-solving ability in Junior High School

D Attami, Budiyono and D Indriati

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012029

Are students having trouble solving problems polyhedron?

A N Arifah and H Retnawati

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012030

Flipped classroom research trends in mathematics learning in Indonesia

E Apriska and Sugiman

+ Open abstract　　　📄 View article　　　📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012031

How do students promote mathematical argumentation through guide-redirecting warrant construction?

D Muhtadi, Sukirwan, R Hermanto, Warsito and A Sunendar

+ Open abstract   🗐 View article   📕 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012041

Augmented Reality for Integer Learning: Investigating its potential on students' critical thinking

S Suryanti, Y Arifani and D Sutaji

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012042

The Role of Progressive Mathematics in Geometry Learning

Warsito, D Muhtadi, Sukirwan and H Saleh

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012043

Analysis of the government district RMTDP using FMD and dematel method

D Suhaedi, A A Ishfahani, E H Harahap, M Y Fajar and O Rohaeni

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012044

Non-empirical Induction in mathematics conjecturing in the new knowledge construction

Supratman, Ratna Rustina and Yeni Heryani

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012045

Educational statistics textbooks to develop collaborative skills and critical thinking

D Astuti, A Prabowo, N A Hidayati and U Khasanah

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012046

Understanding primary school children's learning on addition of fractions

Nor'Arifahwati Haji Abbas, Masitah Shahrill and Rully Charitas Indra Prahmana

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012047

Mathematical Literacy on Rectangles And Triangles Based on The Characteristics of Students' Way of Thinking

B A Nurnugroho, Nurul Arfinanti and Ika Kartika

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012048

Mathematical statistics learning model based on the Indonesian national qualification framework

E Syahputra, E Surya and D R Utami

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012049

Self regulated learning for social cognitive perspective in mathematics lessons

N E Zakiah and D Fajriadi

+ Open abstract　　　▤ View article　　　▧ PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012050

Management of authentic assessment in mathematics lessons to develop 4C skills

N E Zakiah and D Fajriadi

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012051

Students' creativity level on solving mathematics problem

C A F Thohari, Budiyono and H Pratiwi

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012052

TORIQ: Android-based mobile learning for vector lessons

R A Pratama, D Larasati and H Khotimah

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012053

Gender differences on students' self-regulated learning in mathematics

F M A Rohman, Riyadi and D Indriati

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012054

Mathematical literacy in pre-service elementary school teacher: A case study

V Yustitia, S M Amin and Abadi

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012055

Students' cognitive style in mathematical thinking process

M Izzatin, S B Waluyo, Rochmad and Wardono

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012056

Neuroscience study: Gender and mathematical creative thinking skills in vocational high school students

N Adiastuty, S B Waluya, Rochmad and N Aminah

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012057

Mathematics module based on problem-based learning to improve students' metacognition

I Barokah, Budiyono and D R S Saputro

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012058

Geometry learning in vocational high school: Investigating the students' difficulties and levels of thinking

M E O Barut and H Retnawati

+ Open abstract   📄 View article   📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012059

Google form in engineering mathematics: Innovation in assignment method

Iswanti, S Astuti, Suryono, I Sayekti and B Krishna

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012060

Some diagnostics learning problems on basic arithmetic skills of junior high school students

J Hernadi, A Ekayanti and Jumadi

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012061

Enhancing students' self-efficacy through metacognitive strategies in learning mathematics

M F Amal and Ali Mahmudi

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012062

Mathematical Representation Ability and Self -Efficacy

Hanifah, S.B. Waluya, Rochmad and Wardono

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012063

Batak Toba culture on mathematics learning process at Medan high school

E Surya, C Purba, E Syahputra, D Haris, Mukhtar and B Sinaga

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012064

Ensemble-support vector machine-random undersampling: Simulation study of multiclass classification for handling high dimensional and imbalanced data

Nur Silviyah Rahmi

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012065

The visualization and classification method of support vector machine in lymphoma cancer

B C Kristina, A F Hadi, A Riski, A Kamsyakawuni and D Anggraeni

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012066

Unconstrained optimization based fractional order derivative for data classification

Dian Puspita Hapsari, Imam Utoyo and Santi Wulan Purnami

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012067

Comparison of image edge detection methods on potholes road images

Tutuk Indriyani, Imam Utoyo and Riries Rulaningtyas

+ Open abstract　　📄 View article　　📄 PDF

OPEN ACCESS　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　012068

Open-ended mathematics module to improve students' higher order thinking skill

S Kurniawati, Budiyono and D R S Saputro

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012069

Gender differences in junior high school students' mathematical connection in geometry

D N O Sari, Mardiyana and I Pramudya

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012070

Van Hiele's Theory: Transforming And Gender Perspective of Student's Geometrical Thinking

H Fitriyani, E Yudianto, S Maf'ulah, F R Fiantika and R M Hariastuti

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012071

FCM using squared euclidean distance for e-commerce classification in Indonesia

E Z Khulaidah and N Irsalinda

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012072

A system dynamic of the harvesting strategies to sustain the population of squid using logistic growth model

Muhamad Safiih Lola, Wan Saliha Wan Alwi, Mohd Afiq Ramlee, Fatin Alina Zulkifli, Che Noorlia Noor, Yahya Ibrahim and Mohd Tajuddin Abdullah

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012073

Calculus for software engineering: Students' perception towards flipped classroom

S K Ummah, R D Azmi and N Shofia

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012074

Simulation of Traffic T-Junction at Cibiru-Cileunyi Lane Using SimEvents MATLAB

E Harahap, D Darmawan and F H Badruzzaman

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012075

Four-Tier Diagnostic Test Method to Identify Conceptual Understanding in Calculus

Z N Fadhilatullathifi, B Ardiyanto, D D Rahayu, T Almukholani, I Rinayah and F Rahmawati

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012076

Relational Thinking Skills of Junior High School Students and Their Relationship with Creativity in Solving Mathematical Problems

Budi Usodo, Mardiyana, Ikrar Pramudya, Sutopo and Rubono Setiyawan

+ Open abstract   View article   PDF

OPEN ACCESS   012077

Mathematical reasoning in problem-solving in three dimensions

D R Arifanti

+ Open abstract ☰ View article ⬚ PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012078

Cooperative learning based on probabilistic thinking profile SMP Muhammadiyah 3 Mlati regency of Sleman

Abdul Taram, Y L Sukestiyarno, Rochmad and Iwan Junaedi

+ Open abstract ☰ View article ⬚ PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012079

Project based learning to improve student learning activeness

S N Rohmah, S B Waluya, Rochmad and Wardono

+ Open abstract ☰ View article ⬚ PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012080

Statistics literacy: what, why and how?

N A Hidayati, S B Waluya, Rochmad and Wardono

+ Open abstract ☰ View article ⬚ PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012081

The Development of Open-Ended Math Questions on Grade V Students of Elementary School

Yenni Fitra Surya, Zulfah, Astuti, Rusdial Marta and Tommy Tanu Wijaya

+ Open abstract ☰ View article ⬚ PDF

OPEN ACCESS 012082

Analysis of secondary school mathematics teachers' pedagogical content knowledge and intended teaching in curriculum reformation

A S E Hidayat and F Setyawan

+ Open abstract ☰ View article ⬚ PDF

JOURNAL LINKS

Journal home

Information for organizers

Information for authors

Search for published proceedings

Contact us

Reprint services from Curran Associates

PAPER • OPEN ACCESS

Students' mathematical critical thinking reviewed from self-regulated learning

To cite this article: V D Susanti *et al* 2020 *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* **1613** 012008

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

Students' mathematical critical thinking reviewed from self-regulated learning

V D Susanti, I Krisdiana and F Adamura

Universitas PGRI Madiun, Jl. Setiabudi 85 Madiun, East Java, Indonesia

E-mail: vera.mathedhu@unipma.ac.id

Abstract. Critical thinking is the ability to think logically, reflectively, and productively which is applied to produce a good decision. Therefore, it is necessary for mathematics learning. This study aims to analyze the students' mathematical critical thinking in solving numerical analysis problems in terms of self-regulated learning (SRL). The subjects of this study were the seventh semester students of mathematics education at Universitas PGRI Madiun, selected using purposive sampling technique. The instrument in this study was a test for mathematical thinking analysis and a self-regulated learning questionnaire for subject selection. The results suggest that students with high and intermediate SRL are both having a good ability to make generalizations, identify and justify concepts, while students with low SRL only have a good ability to make generalizations, but performed low on the ability to identify and justify the concepts of analysing algorithm.

1. Introduction

Mathematics is a basic foundation of science and technology which has an important role in developing a country's socioeconomic and therefore mathematics is taught at every level of education [1-4]. To understand mathematics, good critical thinking skills are needed besides that critical thinking skills are also one of the goals of education throughout the world [5-8] which means there is an increase in recognition of the importance of developing students' mathematical critical thinking skills. This shows that critical thinking ability is one of the thinking skills that must be possessed by every student. With the ability to think critically a student can carefully use his mind to find meaning and understanding of something, exploration of ideas, decision making, problem-solving with the best consideration and revision of the previous process of checking learning material [9, 10].

To maximize this critical thinking ability, learning should empower the ability to think. In the learning process in class, critical thinking processes are very necessary in learning mathematics. Because in learning mathematics there are many problems that require students to think about how to solve these problems.

One of the subjects that is considered difficult by students is the numerical method course. This is related to this course discussion. Students need to master the prerequisite courses. In the numerical method course these students must master the prerequisite courses, namely linear algebra, calculus, differential. From the pretest scores taken, there were 68.9.4% of students who scored below 70. This shows that students' mathematical criticism in solving numerical methods was very low.

Many factors lower the level of students' mathematical thinking. One of them is influenced by self-regulated learning. Some of writers explains SRL connects with independent learning, examines the effect of SRL on science learning and many suggestions for improving SRL [11-14]. In these articles,

SRL has many different definitions, but the authors have the same three characteristics of SRL: planning goals, choosing strategies, and monitoring cognitive and affective processes that occur in completing academic assignments [15]. The definition of self-regulated learning is an active constructive process where students set their learning goals and monitor, regulate and control their cognition, motivation, and behavior, guided and limited by their goals and the contextual features of their environment [16, 17]. Strategies from of the SRL process and are specific skills that can be taught to students to put into real world practice [18, 19]. The application of SRL strategies typically predicts high academic achievement in the traditional learning environment [20]. On the other hand, the ability to think critically is evident in the literature that there is much discourse about the benefits of critical thinking in education [5, 21] and the various approaches to teaching it in the literature [7, 22, 23]. For this reason, researchers are interested in conducting research related to the analysis of students' mathematical critical thinking processes viewed from self-regulated learning.

2. Method

This type of research is descriptive research. Descriptive research is a research that aims to describe a situation or phenomenon as it is without manipulating the research object. The subjects of this study were students of Semester 7 of mathematics education department at Universitas PGRI Madiun. Taking the subjects in this study was conducted by purposive sampling technique. The instrument in this study was a test for mathematical thinking analysis, a self-regulated learning questionnaire for subject selection. Here we provide an example of the test instrument used in this research.

"Given $f(x) = \cos 3x$. Determine the Mclaurin series of order 5 for $f(x)$. Then, using the series, calculate the value of $f(0.24)$ and determine the absolute error and the relative error of the nears. (Exact value of $f(0.24) = 0.7518057$)".

We also administered a questionnaire as presented in Figure 1.

SELF-REGULATED LEARNING QUESTIONNAIRE

Charging instructions :
1. This questionnaire is distributed and filled out in class.
2. The assessment is carried out on the aspects in the following table by circling the numbers (1-4) in the score column.
    1 = not very good / very low / never / very inappropriate
    2 = not good / low / rare / inappropriate
    3 = good / high / frequent / appropriate
    4 = very good / very high / always / very appropriate

No	Statement	Score			
1.	I noted important things that were explained by the lecturer.	1	2	3	4
2.	I visit the library to do assignments and look for various sources.	1	2	3	4
3.	I use the internet as a learning resource.	1	2	3	4
4.	I mark important things in notebooks and textbooks.	1	2	3	4

Figure 1. Sample of SRL questionnaire

The critical thinking skills measured consist of three indicators. The instrument is in the form of one description item. Students' answers are further categorized into two categories namely True (B), and False (S).

3. Results and discussion

We gave a self-regulated learning questionnaire to all VII semester students who were used to determine the subject. Students are included in the categories that have been determined by researchers based on the results of the questionnaire given. Based on the results of the questionnaire distribution, it was found that students with SRL high 16 students, SRL medium 34 students, and SRL low 18 students. The results showed that the questions tested on students turned out to have varying categories in each of the aspects tested. The results of the analysis of student answers can be seen in Table 1. Table 1 presents the distribution of the answer categories and the number of students.

Table 1. Students' mathematical critical thinking abilities based on types of SRL

Type of SRL	Indicator of mathematical critical thinking ability											
	1				2				3			
	T	%	F	%	T	%	F	%	T	%	F	%
High	13	81.25%	3	18.75%	11	68.75%	5	31.25%	9	56.25%	7	43.75%
Intermediate	25	73.53%	9	26.47%	18	52.94%	16	47.06%	22	64.71%	12	35.29%
Low	10	55.56%	8	44.44%	6	33.33%	12	66.67%	3	16.67%	15	83.33%
Total	48	70.59%	20	29.41%	35	51.47%	33	48.53%	34	50.00%	34	50.00%

In Table 1, Indicator 1 is the ability to generalize, namely the ability to complete data or information that supports. Indicator 2 is the ability to identify and justify concepts, namely the ability to give reasons for mastery of concepts. Indicator 3 is the ability to analyze algorithms, i.e. evaluating or checking an algorithm.

Based on Table 1, it can be seen that overall the number of students who answered correctly the most is on Indicator 1 which is as much as 70.59%. While the number of students who answered correctly on Indicator 2 and Indicator 3 had the same percentage of 51.47% and 50.00%. This indicates that students can make generalizations that are marked by being able to complete supporting data or information. Furthermore, most students can be said not to have the ability to identify and justify the concepts needed to solve the problem and also cannot analyze the marked not able to evaluate the truth of an answer presented. The sample of the students' answer is presented in Figure 2.

Figure 2. Sample of student answer

From several students' answer sheets, we obtained information that most students could not solve the questions for Indicator 2 correctly, due to: (1) Students still did not understand about trigonometry derivatives from first to nth derivatives; (2) Students cannot distinguish between looking for something close to the Taylor series and the Mclaurin series. Whereas for Indicator 3, students cannot solve problems correctly due to: (1) Students are still not careful enough to substitute trigonometric derivatives into the Maclaurin series; (2) Students are correct in substituting derivatives into the Maclaurin series but still lacking in careful calculation anally (3) There are parts that can determine answers to the end but students do not re-check whether the answers that have been presented are correct or not. Furthermore, based on the student answer sheet for Indicator 1, the researcher found that most students could complete the data provided, this shows that the students had understood or explored information from the questions presented related to the Maclaurin series.

3.1. Data analysis on the high SRL
In Indicator 1, there are 81.25% of students answered correctly this shows that students can complete the data or information related to the problems that have been given. And based on interviews, students can name any information from the questions. In Indicator 2, there are 68.75% of students who answered correctly this also shows that students can understand the concept of derivatives in trigonometry, can write Maclaurin's series well. And in the Indicator 3, there are 56.25% of students able to solve problems in accordance with the sequence of work and can calculate analytically until a correct answer is found, although many students do not re-correct the answer right or wrong but at the interview, students are able to explain well how to step out of their solution and be able to explain whether their answer is right or wrong. For students of SRL Higher than 3 indicators, all reaching 50% and above it shows the ability to generalize, identify and justify the concept of analyzing a good algorithm. This is in line with Duron's opinion which states that critical thinkers are able to analyze and evaluate information, raise vital questions and problems, arrange these questions and problems clearly, gather and assess relevant information using abstract ideas, open-minded, and communicate them with effective [24]. Jin added that critical thinkers can criticize, ask questions, evaluate, and reflect on the information obtained [25].

3.2. Data analysis on the intermediate SRL
In Indicator 1 there were 73.53% of students answered correctly this shows that students can complete data or information related to the problems that have been given. And based on interviews, students can name any information from the questions. In the Indicator 2, there were 52.94% of students who answered correctly this also shows that students can understand the concepts of derivatives in trigonometry, can write Maclaurin's series well. And in Indicator 3, there were 64.71% of students are able to solve problems according to the sequence of work and can calculate analytically until a correct answer is found, although many students do not correct the answer again right or wrong, but at the interview, students are able to explain well how to step out of their solution and be able to explain whether their answer is right or wrong. In the SRL students, all of the 3 indicators also reached 50% and above it shows the ability to generalize, identify and justify the concept of analyzing a good algorithm. Based on this, it is clear that students in working on mathematical critical thinking problems still experience difficulties with several indicators in analyzing a question, answer, relevant argument and re-checking a statement or process that can be said still cannot prove the correct result or is wrong [26].

3.3. Data analysis on the low SRL
In Indicator 1 there were 55.56% of students answered correctly this shows that students can complete the data or information related to the problems that have been given. And based on interviews, students can name any information from the questions. In Indicator 2, there were 44.44% of students who answered correctly it also shows that students still have difficulty in reducing the function into trigonometry, in writing the Maclaurin series in the second-order has not been listed by dividing the two factorials. And in Indicator 3, there were 16.67% of students have not been able to solve the problem by the sequence of work and can calculate analytically until the correct answer is found. The low SRL

students showed that the ability to generalize is good but the ability to identify and justify the concepts of analyzing algorithms is low. This is because many students are discouraged when they do not find the answer and have a weak struggle in dealing with problems that will lead to results that are not optimal, so that in the end they cannot solve the problem being faced [27-32].

4. Conclusion
The conclusion obtained is the conclusion from the results of this study is students with high SRL and medium SRL are both having a good ability to generalize, identify and justify concepts while students with low SRL only have a good ability to generalize but on the ability to identify and justify the concept of analyzing low algorithms.

Acknowledgments

References
[1] Justice E, Agyman O K and Nkum D 2015 Factors influencing students' mathematics performance in some selected colleges of education in Ghana *Int. J. Educ. Learn. Dev.* **3** 68-74

[2] Gegbe B, Sundai A and Sheriff V K 2015 Factors contributing to students poor performance in mathematics at West African senior school certification examination *Int. J. Eng. Res. Gen. Sci.* **3** 1040-55

[3] Mbugua Z K, Kibet K, Muthaa G M and Nkonke G R 2012 Factors contributing to students' poor performance in mathematics at Kenya certificate of secondary education in Kenya: A case of Baringo county Kenya *American Int. J. Contemp. Res.* **2** 87-91

[4] Johar R and Lubis K R 2018 The analysis of students' mathematical representation errors in solving word problem related to graph *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika* **5** 96-107

[5] Paul R W 1995 *Critical thinking: How to prepare students for a rapidly changing world* (Santa Rosa: Library of Congress)

[6] Wegerif R, Li L and Kaufman J C eds 2015 *The Routledge international handbook of research on teaching thinking* (Abingdon: Routledge)

[7] Ab Kadir M A 2017 What teacher knowledge matters in effectively developing critical thinkers in the 21 st century curriculum? *Think. Skill Creativity* **23** 79-90

[8] Ab Kadir M A 2009 Rethinking thinking schools, learning nation: Teachers' and students' perspectives of critical thinking in Singaporean education *Doctoral dissertation* (Melbourne: University of Melbourne)

[9] Richardson M, Abraham C and Bond R 2012 Psychological correlates of university students' academic performance: A systematic review and meta-analysis *Psychol. Bull.* **138** 353

[10] Alias S N and Ibrahim F 2015 The level of mastering forces in equilibrium topics by thinking skills *Int. J. Multicult. Multireligious Underst.* **2** 18-24

[11] Butler D L 2002 Individualizing instruction in self-regulated learning *Theory Pract.* **41** 81-92

[12] Hargis J 2000 The self-regulated learner advantage: Learning science on the internet *Electronic J. Sci. Educ.* **4**

[13] Paris S G and Paris A H 2001 Classroom applications of research on self-regulated learning *Educ. Psychol.* **36** 89-101

[14] Schunk D H 1989 Social cognitive theory and self-regulated learning *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement* ed B J Zimmerman and D H Schunk (New York: Springer) pp. 83-110

[15] Setyadi D and Qohar A B D 2017 Pengembangan media pembelajaran matematika berbasis web pada materi barisan dan deret *Kreano J. Mat. Kreatif-Inovatif* **8** 1-7

[16] Pintrich P R 1999 The role of motivation in promoting and sustaining self-regulated learning *Int. J. Educ. Res.* **31** 459-70

[17]   Nicol D and MacFarlane-Dick D 2006 Formative assessment and selfregulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice *Stud. High. Educ.* **31** 199-218

[18]   Zimmerman B J 1990 Self-regulated learning and academic achievement: An overview. *Educ. Psychol.* **25** 3-17

[19]   Broadbent J and Poon W L 2015 Self-regulated learning strategies & academic achievement in online higher education learning environments: A systematic review *Internet High. Educ.* **27** 1-13

[20]   Wang C, Schwab G, Fenn P and Chang M 2013 Self-efficacy and self-regulated learning strategies for English language learners: Comparison between Chinese and German college students *J. Educ. Dev. Psychol.* **3** 173

[21]   Halpern D F 2013 *Thought and knowledge: An introduction to critical thinking* (Hove: Psychology Press)

[22]   Costa A L 2001 Teaching for, of, and about thinking *Developing minds: A resource book for teaching thinking* ed A L Costa (Victoria: Hawker Brownlow Education) pp 354-8

[23]   Ritchhart R 2002 *Intellectual character: What it is, why it matters, and how to get it* (Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons)

[24]   Duron R, Limbach B and Waugh W 2006 Critical thinking framework for any discipline *Int. J. Teach. Learn. Higher Educ.* **17** 160-6

[25]   Jin J, Ying Liu H and Zhang Y 2014 A research on students' needs for follow-up curriculum of college English *J. Educ. Train. Stud.* **3** 116-28

[26]   Noordyana M A 2016 Meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis siswa melalui pendekatan metacognitive instruction *Mosharafa: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika* **5** 120-27

[27]   Hidayat W 2017 Adversity quotient dan penalaran kreatif matematis siswa sma dalam pembelajaran argument driven inquiry pada materi turunan fungsi *Kalamatika: Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika* **2** 15-28

[28]   Amir M Z Z, Risnawati R, Kurniati A and Prahmana R C I 2017 Adversity quotient in mathematics learning (Quantitative study on students boarding school in Pekanbaru) *Int. J. Emerg. Math. Educ.* **1** 169

[29]   Parvathy U and Praseeda M 2014 Relationship between adversity quotient and academic problems among student teachers *J. Humanit.Soc. Sci.* **19** 23-6

[30]   Phoolka E S and Kaur N 2012 Adversity Quotient: A new paradigm to explore *Contemp. Bus. Stud.* **3** 67-78

[31]   Oliveros J C 2014 Adversity quotient and problem-solving skills in advanced algebra *JPAIR Multidiscip. Res.* **17**

[32]   Tresnawati T, Hidayat W and Rohaeti E E 2017 Kemampuan berpikir kritis matematis dan kepercayaan diri siswa SMA *Symmetry: Pasundan J. Res. Math. Learn. Educ.* **2** 39-45